

ON THE GEOMETRY OF COMPLETE INTERSECTION TORIC VARIETIES

NICKOLAS J. MICHELACAKIS AND APOSTOLOS THOMA

Abstract

In this paper we give a geometric characterization of the cones of toric varieties that are complete intersections. In particular, we prove that the class of complete intersection cones is the smallest class of cones which is closed under direct sum and contains all simplex cones. Further, we show that the number of the extreme rays of such a cone, which is less than or equal to $2n - 2$, is exactly $2n - 2$ if and only if the cone is a bipyramidal cone, where $n > 1$ is the dimension of the cone. Finally, we characterize all toric varieties whose associated cones are complete intersection cones.

1 Introduction

Motivation for this article has been provided by several results suggesting the existence of a strong relation between the geometry of the cone and the property of a toric variety to be a complete intersection. J. C. Rosales and P. A. Garcia-Sanchez proved in [7] that the cone of a 3-dimensional affine toric variety contains no more than 4 extreme rays. In 1997 K.G. Fischer, W. Morris and J. Shapiro [3] generalized this result by proving that the cone of an n -dimensional affine toric variety contains no more than $2n - 2$ extreme rays. This result was also proved, independently, by M. Katzman [4] in a graph theory context.

The purpose of this article is to investigate this relation. We provide a contrapositive geometric criterion enabling one to decide when an affine toric variety is not a complete intersection.

Let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that the semigroup generated by A , $\mathbb{N}A = \{\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \mathbf{a}_i \mid \mathbf{a}_i \in A, n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, is an affine semigroup. An *affine semigroup* S is a finitely generated subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with no invertible elements, that means $S \cap (-S) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. To the set A we associate the *toric ideal* I_A which is the kernel of the K -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : K[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow K[t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}]$$

given by

$$\phi(x_i) = \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} = t_1^{a_{i,1}} \dots t_n^{a_{i,n}} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n})$. The set of zeroes of I_A , $V(I_A) \subset K^m$ is an *affine toric variety* in the sense of [8], since we do not require normality. To the toric variety $V(I_A)$ we associate a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone

$$\sigma = \text{pos}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) := \{l_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + l_m \mathbf{a}_m \mid l_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+\} := \mathbb{Q}^+ A,$$

where $\mathbb{Q}^+$ denotes the set of nonnegative rationals.

An affine toric variety $V(I_A)$ (resp. an affine semigroup INA) is called *complete intersection* if and only if the ideal I_A is a complete intersection, i.e. the minimal number of generators of I_A equals the height of I_A . For $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $E \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, we denote by A^E the set $\{\mathbf{a}_i | i \in E\}$.

Definition 1.1 *Let E_1, E_2 be two nonempty subsets of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $E_1 \cup E_2 = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. The semigroup INA is called the *gluing* of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} if there is a nonzero $\mathbf{a} \in INA^{E_1} \cap INA^{E_2}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a} = \mathbb{Z}A^{E_1} \cap \mathbb{Z}A^{E_2}$.*

Complete intersection affine semigroups or equivalently complete intersection toric varieties have been completely characterized in [3] via the use of semigroup gluings.

Theorem 1.2 (Fischer-Morris-Shapiro) *Let INA be an affine semigroup that is not a free abelian semigroup. Then INA is a complete intersection if and only if INA is the gluing of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} and both INA^{E_1}, INA^{E_2} are complete intersections.*

As an immediate corollary, one gets:

Theorem 1.3 *The class of complete intersection affine semigroups is the smallest class of affine semigroups closed under the operation of gluing and containing all free affine semigroups.*

In this article, we use this characterization of complete intersection affine semigroups to provide a geometric characterization of complete intersection cones. A cone σ is called a *complete intersection cone* if there exists a complete intersection toric variety $V(I_A)$ such that its associated cone σ_A is equal to σ . More specifically, in section 2, we present the notion of the direct sum of cones and prove its basic properties. In section 3, we characterize complete intersection cones by proving that the class of complete intersection cones is the smallest class of cones which is closed under direct sum and contains all simplex cones. Further, we show that the number of the extreme rays of such a cone, which is less than or equal to $2n - 2$, is exactly $2n - 2$ if and only if the cone is a bipyramidal cone. In section 4, we characterize all toric varieties whose corresponding cones are complete intersection cones.

2 Direct sum of cones

Let $B \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$. The rational linear hull of the set B is the set

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l q_i \mathbf{b}_i \mid q_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \mathbf{b}_i \in B \right\} := \mathbb{Q}B,$$

while the rational polyhedral cone of B is the set

$$\text{pos}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l q_i \mathbf{b}_i \mid q_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+, \mathbf{b}_i \in B \right\} := \mathbb{Q}^+ B.$$

The relative interior $\text{relint}(\sigma)$ of a cone σ is the usual topological interior of σ considered as a subset of $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma)$. A cone σ is *strongly convex* if $\sigma \cap (-\sigma) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. A face F of σ is any set of the form

$$F = \sigma \cap \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}^n : \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\},$$

where $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{Q}^n$ and $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for all points $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma$. Note that $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ denotes the dot product in $\mathcal{Q}^n$. We say that the vector $\mathbf{c}$ defines the face F . Faces of dimension one are called *extreme rays*. An extreme ray will be denoted by $\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{r}$ for a nonzero vector, $\mathbf{r}$, belonging to it. The set of extreme rays of a cone σ will be denoted by $\text{Rays}(\sigma)$. If the number of the extreme rays k coincides with the dimension of the cone (i.e. the extreme rays are linearly independent), the cone is called a *k-simplex cone*.

Definition 2.1 Let σ_1, σ_2 strongly convex rational polyhedral cones in $\mathcal{Q}^n$ with $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_2) = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. We call the rational polyhedral cone $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 := \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2)$ the direct sum of σ_1, σ_2 along $\mathbf{a}$. If $\mathbf{a}$ belongs to an extreme ray $\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{r}$ of either σ_1, σ_2 or both we call the direct sum, direct sum of external type and we denote it $\sigma_1 \oplus_{\mathbf{r}} \sigma_2$. Otherwise we call the direct sum, direct sum of internal type and we denote it $\sigma_1 \oplus_{\mathbf{a}} \sigma_2$.

We shall make use of the notation $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ to indicate the direct sum of two cones σ_1 and σ_2 in general, regardless of type. Observe that given two cones $\sigma_1 = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{r}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{1t})$ and $\sigma_2 = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{r}_{21}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{2s})$ then, $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2 = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{r}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{1t}, \mathbf{r}_{21}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{2s})$. Thus, every element of σ can be written as a sum of an element in σ_1 and an element in σ_2 , albeit not necessarily in a unique way.

Proposition 2.2 The rational polyhedral cones σ_1, σ_2 are strongly convex if and only if $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ is strongly convex.

Proof. Assume that both σ_1, σ_2 are strongly convex and set $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma \cap (-\sigma)$. Then, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = -\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2$, where $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 \in \sigma_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \sigma_2$. Set $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{y}_1 = -\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}_2$, then $\mathbf{w} \in \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_2) = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{a}$, i.e. $\mathbf{w} = q\mathbf{a}$. From $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{y}_1 = q\mathbf{a}$ we conclude that $q \geq 0$ and from $-\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{y}_2 = q\mathbf{a}$ we conclude that $q \leq 0$. Thus, $q = 0$ which implies that $\mathbf{x}_1 = -\mathbf{y}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 = -\mathbf{y}_2$. Because both σ_1, σ_2 are strongly convex $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, therefore, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ is strongly convex.

The reverse inclusion follows immediately since $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \subset \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$.

Proposition 2.3 Simplex cones are strongly convex.

Proof. Let $\sigma = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_s)$ such that $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_s$ are linearly independent. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma \cap (-\sigma)$. Then $\mathbf{x} = a_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + a_s\mathbf{r}_s = -b_1\mathbf{r}_1 - \dots - b_s\mathbf{r}_s$, where a_i, b_i are nonnegative rationals. Then, $(a_1 + b_1)\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + (a_s + b_s)\mathbf{r}_s = \mathbf{0}$ implies that $a_i + b_i = 0$ for all i . Therefore $a_i = 0 = b_i$, which means that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

When the cones are strongly convex we can find a hyperplane intersecting the cones σ, σ_1 and σ_2 at convex polytopes P, P_1 and P_2 [2]. Translating the definition of the direct sum of cones to the polytopes, we have the notion of direct sum of polytopes. In case $\mathbf{a}$ is in the relative interiors of both σ_1 and σ_2 then the polytope P is the usual direct sum (or free sum) of P_1 and P_2 [2, 6]. It is, however, important to point out that in our case $\mathbf{a}$ may belong to the relative interior of any k -dimensional face, $k \geq 2$, in the direct sum of internal type, or to an extreme ray, in the direct sum of external type.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ with $\dim(\sigma_1) = n_1$ and $\dim(\sigma_2) = n_2$. Let k_1 be the number of extreme rays of σ_1 and k_2 be the number of extreme rays of σ_2 , then $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ has dimension $n_1 + n_2 - 1$, $k_1 + k_2$ extreme rays if the direct sum is of internal type and $k_1 + k_2 - 1$ if the direct sum is of external type.*

Proof. The formula for the dimension follows immediately from the hypothesis since $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_2) = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{a}$. We show first that

$$\text{Rays}(\sigma) \subset \text{Rays}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{Rays}(\sigma_2). \quad (1)$$

To this end, let $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ be an extreme ray of σ then, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$, with $\mathbf{x}_1 \in \sigma_1$, $\mathbf{x}_2 \in \sigma_2$. Let further, $\mathbf{c} \in \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma) := \mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$ be a vector defining the extreme ray $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$. Then, $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$, while $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all other $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma$. This implies that $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$ while $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 \geq 0$, therefore they should both belong to $\mathcal{Q}_+\mathbf{r}$. Since $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ at least one of $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ is nonzero. Then every nonzero vector of $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ defines an extreme ray of σ_1, σ_2 respectively, i.e. every ray of σ is either an extreme ray of σ_1 or of σ_2 proving (1).

We now look at the extreme rays of σ_1, σ_2 .

Choose a basis of $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1)$ such that the n_1 -th element of the basis is either $\mathbf{a}$, if σ is of internal type, or $\mathbf{r}$, if σ is of external type, and extend it to a basis of $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma) = \mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$. Then, for $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma_1$, we have that $(\mathbf{x})_j = 0$ for all $n_1 < j \leq n_1 + n_2 - 1$ while for $\mathbf{y} \in \sigma_2$, we have that $(\mathbf{y})_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j < n_1$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}_1$ be any ray of σ_1 , if σ is of internal type, and any ray of σ_1 different from $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$, if σ is of external type. Since $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}_1$ is an extreme ray of σ_1 there exist $\mathbf{c}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$ such that $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 = 0$ and $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}_1$. The cone σ_2 is strongly convex thus, $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a face of σ_2 . So, there exists a $\mathbf{c}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$ such that $\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{y} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{y} \in \sigma_2$. We may assume that $(\mathbf{c}_1)_j = 0$ for all $n_1 < j \leq n_1 + n_2 - 1$ and $(\mathbf{c}_2)_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j < n_1$. Note that $\mathbf{a} \notin \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}_1$, if σ of internal type, and $\mathbf{r} \notin \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}_1$, if σ external type, therefore, $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a} > 0$ and $\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a} > 0$ (resp. $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} > 0$ and $\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} > 0$) which means that $(\mathbf{c}_1)_{n_1} > 0$ and $(\mathbf{c}_2)_{n_1} > 0$. Then the vector

$$(\mathbf{c})_i = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{c}_2)_{n_1}(\mathbf{c}_1)_i & i = 1, \dots, n_1 - 1 \\ (\mathbf{c}_2)_{n_1}(\mathbf{c}_1)_{n_1} & i = n_1 \\ (\mathbf{c}_1)_{n_1}(\mathbf{c}_2)_i & i = n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2 - 1 \end{cases}$$

defines $\mathbf{r}_1$ as an extreme ray of σ . A similar argument shows that the corresponding statement concerning the extreme rays of σ_2 holds true. Therefore, every extreme ray of $\text{Rays}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{Rays}(\sigma_2)$, different from $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$, is an extreme ray of σ . Thus, if $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus_a \sigma_2$ is of internal type, we have proved that $\text{Rays}(\sigma) = \text{Rays}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{Rays}(\sigma_2)$ and since $\text{Rays}(\sigma_1), \text{Rays}(\sigma_2)$ have no common elements we conclude that $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus_a \sigma_2$ has $k_1 + k_2$ extreme rays.

If σ is of external type, we need to check what happens with $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$. There are two cases:

i) $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ is an extreme ray of both σ_1, σ_2 . Then there exist $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$ such that $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$ and $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma_1$ and not in $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$, and $\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$ and $\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{y} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \sigma_2$ and not in $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$. From $\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$, $\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$ we get $(\mathbf{c}_1)_{n_1} = (\mathbf{c}_2)_{n_1} = 0$ since the vector $\mathbf{r}$ is the

n_1 -th element in the basis of $\mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$. Then the vector

$$(\mathbf{c})_i = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{c}_1)_i & i = 1, \dots, n_1 - 1 \\ (\mathbf{c}_1)_{n_1} = (\mathbf{c}_2)_{n_1} = 0 & i = n_1 \\ (\mathbf{c}_2)_i & i = n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2 - 1 \end{cases}$$

defines $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ as an extreme ray of σ . The extreme rays in this case are all rays in $\text{Rays}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{Rays}(\sigma_2)$, but since $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ is counted twice we have a total of $k_1 + k_2 - 1$ rays.

ii) $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ is an extreme ray of one of them; we may, without loss of generality, assume of σ_1 . We claim that $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ cannot be an extreme ray of σ . If it were, there would exist $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{Q}^{n_1+n_2-1}$ such that $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$ and $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma$ not in $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$. But then, $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r} \subset \sigma_2$, $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$ and $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} > 0$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \sigma_2$ not in $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ forcing $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ to be an extreme ray of σ_2 , a contradiction. Thus, in this case the extreme rays of $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus_r \sigma_2$ are all the extreme rays of $\text{Rays}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{Rays}(\sigma_2)$ except $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{r}$ which makes again a total of $k_1 + k_2 - 1$ rays.

Remark 2.5 *If $\dim(\sigma_2) = 1$ then, $\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ is always of external type and $\sigma_1 \oplus_r \sigma_2 = \sigma_1$.*

3 Complete intersection cones

We fix $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $E \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and denote by σ_E the cone $\text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{a}_i | i \in E) = \mathcal{Q}A^E$. We have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1 *If the semigroup INA is the gluing of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} then $\sigma = \sigma_{E_1} \oplus \sigma_{E_2}$.*

Proof. Suppose that INA is the gluing of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} . Then there exist an $\mathbf{a}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a} = \mathbb{Z}A^{E_1} \cap \mathbb{Z}A^{E_2}$, therefore $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_{E_1}) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_{E_2}) = \mathcal{Q}A^{E_1} \cap \mathcal{Q}A^{E_2} = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{a}$. From $\mathbf{a} \in INA^{E_1} \cap INA^{E_2}$ we get that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{Q}^+A^{E_1} \cap \mathcal{Q}^+A^{E_2} = \sigma_{E_1} \cap \sigma_{E_2}$.

Definition 3.2 *A cone σ is called a complete intersection cone if there exist a complete intersection toric ideal whose associated cone is σ .*

Theorem 3.3 *The class of complete intersection cones is the smallest class of cones closed under direct sum containing all rational simplex cones.*

Proof. By propositions 2.2 and 2.3 all cones in the above class are strongly convex. Let σ be a rational simplex cone. Then by choosing an integer vector in each extreme ray we have a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ of linearly independent vectors over $\mathcal{Q}$. Equivalently, the ideal I_A is the zero ideal and thus a complete intersection, and so is INA . Suppose that $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ is a direct sum of two complete intersection cones σ_1 and σ_2 . This means that there exist two sets of integer vectors such that $\sigma_1 = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A_1)$, $\sigma_2 = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A_2)$ and INA_1 , INA_2 are complete intersections. Since $\sigma = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ we have that $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A_1) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A_2)$ is a $\mathcal{Q}$ -vector space of dimension 1 therefore there exist an integer vector $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma$ such that $\mathbb{Z}A_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}A_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}A_i \cap \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a} = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a}$, $i = 1, 2$, the semigroups $INA_i \cap IN\mathbf{a} = \langle n_{i,1}\mathbf{a}, \dots, n_{i,s_i}\mathbf{a} \rangle$

with $g.c.d.(n_{i,1}, \dots, n_{i,s_i}) = 1$, $i = 1, 2$, i.e. they are isomorphic to numerical semigroups. Therefore, for all sufficiently large n , $n\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}A_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $g = g.c.d.(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and τ be any positive integer prime with g . We claim that if $\mathbb{Z}A_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}A_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a}$ then, $\mathbb{Z}\tau A_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}A_2 = \mathbb{Z}\tau\mathbf{a}$. To prove it, if $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}\tau A_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}A_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}A_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}A_2 = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a}$ then, $\mathbf{b} = t\mathbf{a}$ for an integer t . Since $t\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}\tau A_1$, each coordinate of $t\mathbf{a}$ is a multiple of τ . But $(\tau, g) = 1$ thus, t is a multiple of τ , proving the claim.

Choose μ and τ positive integers such that they are prime to each other and to g and such that $\mu\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}A_1$ and $\tau\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}A_2$. Then, by the previous argument applied twice, we have that $\mathbb{Z}\tau A_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}\mu A_2 = \mathbb{Z}\tau\mu\mathbf{a}$. But also, $\tau\mu\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}\tau A_1 \cap \mathbb{N}\mu A_2$ therefore, the toric ideal for $A = \tau A_1 \cup \mu A_2$ is a complete intersection since it is the gluing of $\mathbb{N}\tau A_1$, $\mathbb{N}\mu A_2$ and both of them are complete intersections.

The other direction follows by translating Theorem 1.3 from semigroup gluings to direct sums of cones using Proposition 3.1.

Definition 3.4 *We call general bipyramidal cones the elements of the smallest class of cones which is closed under the operation of direct sum of internal type and contains all 2-simplex cones.*

In [3], K. G. Fisher, W. Morris and J. Shapiro proved that the number of extreme rays of a complete intersection cone of dimension $n > 1$ is less than or equal to $2n - 2$. In order to show that the bound $2n - 2$, for the number of extreme rays, is best possible they presented a family of examples, one for each dimension. All cones in these examples were bipyramidal. We provide next, a direct proof of this result which enables us to show that the class of complete intersection cones featuring the maximum number, $2n - 2$, of extreme rays is precisely the class of bipyramidal cones.

Theorem 3.5 *The number of extreme rays of a complete intersection cone of dimension $n > 1$ is less than or equal to $2n - 2$. It is exactly $2n - 2$ if and only if it is a general bipyramidal cone.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A)$ be a complete intersection cone with $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ such that $\mathbb{N}A$ is a complete intersection. Suppose that $\dim(\sigma) = n$ and σ has k extreme rays. The height of I_A is $m - n$ therefore the ideal I_A has a minimal set of generators consisting of $m - n$ binomials.

A partition $\mathcal{J}$ of A is a set of pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets covering A . We say that partition $\mathcal{J}_2$ refines partition $\mathcal{J}_1$ and write $\mathcal{J}_2 < \mathcal{J}_1$, if every set in $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the union of some sets in $\mathcal{J}_2$. According to K. G. Fisher, W. Morris and J. Shapiro [3], see also Theorems 1.2, 1.3, we have a chain of partitions of A :

$$\mathcal{J}_{m-n+1} < \mathcal{J}_{m-n} < \dots < \mathcal{J}_2 < \mathcal{J}_1$$

such that i) $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{A\}$,

ii) for $i = 1, \dots, m - n$, partition $\mathcal{J}_i$ is obtained from $\mathcal{J}_{i+1}$ by replacing only two sets E_1, E_2 of the partition $\mathcal{J}_{i+1}$ by their union E , while all the rest remain the same; the semigroup $\mathbb{N}E$ is the gluing of $\mathbb{N}E_1$ and $\mathbb{N}E_2$ and

iii) the vectors in each set of the last partition $\mathcal{J}_{m-n+1}$ are linearly independent.

Note that the partition $\mathcal{J}_i$ consists of i sets and for any set S in the last partition $\mathcal{J}_{m-n+1}$ we have $\text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(S)$ is a simplex cone.

From ii) and Proposition 3.1 we have $\text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) = \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E_1) \oplus \text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E_2)$, where $\text{pos}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E_1) \oplus$

$pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(E_2)$ is either of internal type or of external type. The cone σ is, thus, obtained by taking $m - n$ direct sums starting with the $m - n + 1$ simplex cones $pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S)$, where $S \in \mathcal{J}_{m-n+1}$. Suppose that a of the $m - n$ direct sums are of internal type and b of external type. It follows that

$$a + b = m - n.$$

If σ is a strongly rational polyhedral cone, let $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ denote the number of extreme rays of σ . For every partition $\mathcal{J}_i$ we have a set of cones $\{pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S) | S \in \mathcal{J}_i\}$. To any partition we assign the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_i)$ which is equal to

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{J}_i} (\mathcal{R}(pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S)) - \dim_{\mathcal{Q}}(pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S))).$$

The set of cones corresponding to partitions $\mathcal{J}_i, \mathcal{J}_{i+1}$, differ only in that two cones in $\mathcal{J}_{i+1}$ are replaced by their direct sum in $\mathcal{J}_i$. Theorem 2.4 says that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_i) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_{i+1})$ if this is of external type, while $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_i) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_{i+1}) + 1$ if it is of internal type. Since $\mathcal{J}_1$ contains just A , or equivalently only the cone σ , $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_1) = k - n$, while $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{J}_{m-n+1}) = 0$, because every cone in $\mathcal{J}_{m-n+1}$ is simplex cone. We conclude that

$$k - n = a.$$

Since in the last partition all the m vectors in A appear as extreme rays of the $m + n - 1$ cones of $pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S)$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m+n-1} (\mathcal{R}(pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S_j))) = m$, i.e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+n-1} (\mathcal{R}(pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S_j)) - 1) = n - 1.$$

We may assume that the first t terms in the left part of the above equation are nonzero. For the zero terms, we have that $(\mathcal{R}(pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S_j))) = 1$, $t < j \leq m + n - 1$. Remark 2.5 guarantees that the sets of cones of dimension more than 1 in partitions $\mathcal{J}_{i+1}, \mathcal{J}_i$ are the same and the type of the direct sum involved is external. Thus, internal type of direct sum may appear only amongst the first t cones, $pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S_j)$. Thus,

$$a \leq t - 1.$$

Further, $\sum_{i=1}^t (\mathcal{R}(pos_{\mathcal{Q}}(S_i)) - 1) = n - 1$ is a nonzero partition of $n - 1$ therefore,

$$t \leq n - 1.$$

We conclude that

$$a \leq t - 1 \leq n - 2.$$

But $k - n = a$ therefore, $k \leq 2n - 2$.

Now, for the second part of the statement of the theorem, if we have $k = 2n - 2$ then, $t = n - 1$ which implies that each k_i is two or one. Actually, we have $n - 1$ two's and the rest are one's. By remark 2.5 once more, we have that σ is obtained by taking $n - 2$ direct sums of internal type starting with $(n - 1)$ 2-simplex cones, i.e. σ is a general bipyramidal

cone.

For the other direction, let σ be a general bipyramidal cone of dimension n . We claim that the number of extreme rays of σ is $2n - 2$. If σ is a 2-simplex cone then, it has $2 = 2 \cdot 2 - 2$ extreme rays. We apply induction assuming the claim true for all bipyramidal cones of dimension smaller than n , $n \geq 3$. Let σ be a general bipyramidal cone of dimension n , $n \geq 3$, then σ is the direct sum of internal type of two general bipyramidal cones of dimensions n_1 , n_2 smaller than n . Applying the induction hypothesis on these two cones we see that they have $2n_1 - 2$, $2n_2 - 2$ extreme rays respectively. Now, Theorem 2.4 implies that σ has $(2n_1 - 2) + (2n_2 - 2) = 2(n_1 + n_2 - 1) - 2 = 2n - 2$ extreme rays, and the claim is proved.

4 Toric varieties with complete intersection cones

The associated cone a toric variety may be a complete intersection cone without the variety being a complete intersection. Using the results of the previous sections, it is not difficult to determine the vector-sets A so that the cone associated with the toric variety $V(I_A)$ (resp. of the affine semigroup INA) is a complete intersection cone. In this description, it turns out that the key concept needed is that of the s-gluing of semigroups, a generalization of the gluing and p-gluing of semigroups, see relatively [1, 5].

Definition 4.1 *Let E_1, E_2 be two nonempty subsets of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $E_1 \cup E_2 = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. The semigroup INA is called the s-gluing of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} if there exist an integer vector $\mathbf{a}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a} = \mathbb{Z}A^{E_1} \cap \mathbb{Z}A^{E_2}$ and $t\mathbf{a} \in INA^{E_1} \cap INA^{E_2}$ for some positive integer t .*

The next proposition is a generalization of Proposition 3.1 and makes the relation between the operation of s-gluing of semigroups and the operation of taking direct sums of cones exact.

Proposition 4.2 *The semigroup INA is the s-gluing of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} if and only if $\sigma = \sigma_{E_1} \oplus \sigma_{E_2}$.*

Proof. Suppose that INA is the s-gluing of the semigroups INA^{E_1} and INA^{E_2} . Then there exist an $\mathbf{a}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{a} = \mathbb{Z}A^{E_1} \cap \mathbb{Z}A^{E_2}$, therefore $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_{E_1}) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_{E_2}) = \mathcal{Q}A^{E_1} \cap \mathcal{Q}A^{E_2} = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{a}$. From $t\mathbf{a} \in INA^{E_1} \cap INA^{E_2}$ we get that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{Q}^+A^{E_1} \cap \mathcal{Q}^+A^{E_2} = \sigma_{E_1} \cap \sigma_{E_2}$. For the converse, suppose that $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_{E_1}) \cap \text{span}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_{E_2}) = \mathcal{Q}\mathbf{a}$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \sigma_{E_1} \cap \sigma_{E_2}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}A^{E_1} \cap \mathbb{Z}A^{E_2}$ is of rank one, and let $\mathbf{b}$ be a generator of it such that $\mathbf{b} = \mu\mathbf{a}$. Then $\mathbf{b} = \mu\mathbf{a} \in \sigma_{E_1} \cap \sigma_{E_2} = \mathcal{Q}^+A^{E_1} \cap \mathcal{Q}^+A^{E_2}$. Clearing denominators we get that $t\mathbf{b} \in INA^{E_1} \cap INA^{E_2}$, for some positive integer t .

Proposition 4.2 in conjunction with Theorem 3.3 give the following:

Theorem 4.3 *The class of affine semigroups with complete intersection cones is the smallest class of affine semigroups closed under the operation of s-gluing containing all free affine semigroups.*

Remark 4.4 The notion of p-gluing of affine semigroups was introduced in [1] in order to characterize the affine toric varieties that are set-theoretic complete intersections in

positive characteristic p . It follows from [1] and Theorem 4.3 that any toric variety that is set-theoretic complete intersection on binomials in any characteristic has a complete intersection cone.

M. Morales and A. Thoma [5] have characterized the subsemigroups INA with no invertible elements that are complete intersection, A is a finite set of elements of $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T$ with T a torsion group. Semigroups of this kind correspond to lattice ideals. To any such semigroup, one can associate the cone generated by the non torsion parts of the elements of A . It follows from the results in [5] and Theorem 4.3 that complete intersection lattice ideals have also complete intersection cones.

Acknowledgment. The second author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Cyprus for the hospitality during the preparation of this work.

References

- [1] M. Barile, M. Morales and A. Thoma, *Set-theoretic complete intersections on binomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002) 1893-1903.
- [2] G. Ewald, *Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **168**, Springer Verlag New York, Berlin, Heidelberg 1996.
- [3] K. Fischer, W. Morris, J. Shapiro, *Affine semigroup rings that are complete intersections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997) 3137-3145.
- [4] M. Katzman, *Bipartite graphs whose edge algebras are complete intersection*, J. Algebra **220** (1999) 519-530.
- [5] M. Morales and A. Thoma, *Complete intersection lattice ideals*, preprint 2004.
- [6] J. Richter-Gebert and G. M. Ziegler, *Oriented matroids*, Handbook on Discrete and Computational Geometry, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1997) 111-132.
- [7] J.C. Rosales and P. A. Garcia-Sanchez, *On complete intersection affine semigroups*, Commun. Algebra, **23**(14) (1995) 5395-5412.
- [8] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*. University Lecture Series, No. 8 American Mathematical Society Providence, R.I. 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS,
1678 NICOSIA (CYPRUS)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA, IOANNINA 45110 (GREECE)